arXiv:1005.4310v3 [math.AG] 10 Mar 2011

SLOPES OF SMOOTH CURVES ON FANO MANIFOLDS

JUN-MUK HWANG[1], HOSUNG KIM[1], YONGNAM LEE[2], AND JIHUN PARK[3]

Abstract. Ross and Thomas introduced the concept of slope stability to study K-stability, which has conjectural relation with the existence of constant scalar curvature Kähler metric. This paper presents a study of slope stability of Fano manifolds of dimension $n \geq 3$ with respect to smooth curves. The question turns out to be easy for curves of genus ≥ 1 and the interest lies in the case of smooth rational curves. Our main result classifies completely the cases when a polarized Fano manifold $(X, -K_X)$ is not slope stable with respect to a smooth curve. Our result also states that a Fano manifold X with Picard number 1 is slope stable with respect to every smooth curve unless X is the projective space.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the fundamental problems in Kähler geometry is to determine which Fano manifold X admits a Kähler-Einstein metric. It is expected that the existence of a Kähler-Einstein metric is closely related to the stability condition of the polarized manifold $(X, -K_X)$. In fact, it is known that, for a polarized manifold (X, L), the existence of constant scalar curvature Kähler metric in the class $c_1(L)$ implies K-semistability of (X, L) (cf. [10]).

The K-semistability of a polarized manifold is often very hard to check. To remedy this, Ross and Thomas introduced the notion of the slope (semi-)stability of a polarized manifold (X, L) and showed that K-(semi-)stability implies Slope (semi-)stability (cf. [14]). The question of the slope stability of a given polarized manifold with respect to a subscheme is an interesting algebro-geometric problem in itself. Many cases have been worked out in [9], [11], [12], [13], [14].

An essential difficulty in this problem often lies in the estimation of the Seshadri number of the ample line bundle along the subvarieties. This way, it is related to the study of Seshadri numbers, which is an important subject in classical algebraic geometry.

In this paper, we study the slope stability of a Fano manifold $(X, -K_X)$ with respect to smooth curves. It is rather easy to see that $(X, -K_X)$ is always slope stable with respect to a smooth curve of genus ≥ 1 (cf. Corollary 2.12). Thus our main concern will be smooth rational curves. Since a Fano manifold is covered by rational curves, many geometric properties of a Fano manifold can be described by rational curves. We completely classify the cases when a polarized Fano manifold $(X, -K_X)$ is not slope stable with respect to a smooth curve. More precisely,

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a Fano manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$. If the polarized manifold $(X, -K_X)$ is not slope stable with respect to a smooth submanifold Z, then the submanifold Z is a Fano manifold.*

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a Fano manifold of dimension $n \geq 4$.*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J45; 14L24.

Key words and phrases. slope stability, Fano manifold, rational curve.

[1] supported by National Researcher Program 2010-0020413 of NRF and MEST.

[2] supported by Mid-career Researcher Program through NRF grant funded by the MEST (No. 2010-0008752).

[3] supported by Basic Science Research Program through NRF grant funded by the MEST (No. 2010-0008235).

(1) *If the polarized manifold $(X, -K_X)$ is not slope stable with respect to a smooth curve Z, then either*
   (a) *Z is a rational curve with trivial normal bundle and the Seshadri constant of Z with respect to $-K_X$ is n, or*
   (b) *$X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ and Z is a line.*

(2) *The polarized manifold $(X, -K_X)$ is slope semistable with respect to every smooth curve.*

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold.*

(1) *If the polarized threefold $(X, -K_X)$ is not slope stable with respect to a smooth curve Z, then*
   (a) *Z is a rational curve whose normal bundle is trivial,*
   (b) *Z is a rational curve whose normal bundle is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$, or*
   (c) *$X \cong \mathbb{P}^3$ and Z is a line.*

(2) *The polarized threefold $(X, -K_X)$ is slope semistable with respect to every smooth curve except when the curve Z is a rational curve whose normal bundle is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$.*

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be a Fano manifold of Picard number 1 and dimension $n \geq 3$. Then X is slope stable with respect to every smooth curve Z except when $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ and Z is a line.*

The proofs of the theorems make use of the deformation of rational curves (see [1] and [6]), vector bundles over manifolds whose projectivisations are Fano manifolds and the classification of Fano threefolds with Picard number 1 (see [4]).

In this paper, we work over the field of complex numbers.

2. Slope stability and Fano bundles

This section briefly reviews the concept of slope stability, and proves Theorem 1.1. For more details on slope stability, we refer to [13, 14].

A polarized manifold (X, L) is a pair of a smooth projective variety X with an ample line bundle L on X.

The Seshadri constant of a proper closed subscheme Z of X with respect to the ample line bundle L is defined as

$$\epsilon(Z, X, L) := \max\{c \mid \sigma^* L - cE \ \text{ is nef }\},$$

where $\sigma : \hat{X} \to X$ is the blowup along Z with the exceptional divisor E. When the polarized manifold is clearly given, we will use shortly $\epsilon(Z)$ instead of $\epsilon(Z, X, L)$.

The following is immediate from the definition.

Lemma 2.1. *Let (X, L) be a polarized manifold and Z be a a proper closed subscheme of X. If there is a curve C with $C \not\subset Z$ and $C \cap Z \neq \emptyset$, then $\epsilon(Z) \leq L \cdot C$.*

Remark 2.2. We remark the following facts on Seshadri constant which can be found in Example 5.4.11 and Proposition 5.4.15 in [8].

(i) Let Z_1, Z_2, Z be proper closed subschemes of a projective variety X defined by ideal sheaves $\mathcal{I}_1$, $\mathcal{I}_2$, $\mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2$ respectively. Then for any ample line bundle L on X, we have

$$\epsilon(Z, X, L) \geq \min\{\epsilon(Z_1, X, L), \epsilon(Z_2, X, L)\}.$$

(ii) Consider smooth projective varieties

$$Z \subset Y \subset X$$

and let L be an ample line bundle on X. If $\epsilon(Z,X,L) < \epsilon(Y,X,L)$, then $\epsilon(Z,Y,L|_Y) = \epsilon(Z,X,L)$.

Example 2.3. For any proper linear subspace Z of $\mathbb{P}^n$, we claim that $\epsilon(Z,\mathbb{P}^n,-K_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = n+1$.
For each proper linear subspace Z in $\mathbb{P}^n$, choose a line l in $\mathbb{P}^n$ so that $l \not\subset Z$ and $l \cap Z \neq \emptyset$. Then $\epsilon(Z,\mathbb{P}^n,-K_{\mathbb{P}^n}) \leq (-K_{\mathbb{P}^n}) \cdot l = n+1$ by Lemma 2.1.
For the reverse inequality, we use an induction. For any hyperplane H in $\mathbb{P}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon(H,\mathbb{P}^n,-K_{\mathbb{P}^n}) &= \max\{x| \ -K_{\mathbb{P}^n} - xH \text{ is nef}\}\\ &= \max\{x| \ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(n+1-x) \text{ is nef}\} = n+1.\end{aligned}$$

Suppose the equality holds for any linear subspace of codimension $\leq r-1$. Let Z be a codimension r linear subspace of $\mathbb{P}^n$. Choose hyperplanes H_1,...,H_r so that $H_1 \cap ... \cap H_r = Z$. Then

$$\epsilon(Z,\mathbb{P}^n,-K_{\mathbb{P}^n}) \geq \min\{\epsilon(H_1 \cap ... \cap H_{r-1},\mathbb{P}^n,-K_{\mathbb{P}^n}), \epsilon(H_r,\mathbb{P}^n,-K_{\mathbb{P}^n})\} = n+1$$

by Remark 2.2 (i) and the induction hypothesis.

Let (X,L) be a polarized manifold of dimension n with Hilbert polynomial

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}(kL)) = a_0k^n + a_1k^{n-1} + O(k^{n-2}),\ k \gg 0.$$

The slope of (X,L) is defined by

$$\mu(X) = \mu(X,L) := \frac{a_1}{a_0} = -\frac{nK_XL^{n-1}}{2L^n}.$$

In particular, if X is Fano and $L = -K_X$, then $\mu(X) = \frac{n}{2}$.
Let Z be a proper closed subscheme of X and $\sigma : \hat{X} \to X$ be the blowup along Z with the exceptional divisor E. For fixed $x \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, define $a_i(x)$ by

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}(\sigma^*(kL) - xkE)) = a_0(x)k^n + a_1(x)k^{n-1} + O(k^{n-2}),\ k \gg 0,\ xk \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $a_i(x)$ can be extended to all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ as a polynomial of degree at most $n-i$. In particular, when Z is a submanifold of dimension d, then we have

$$a_0(x) = \frac{1}{n!}(\sigma^*L - xE)^n$$

and

$$a_1(x) = -\frac{1}{2(n-1)!}K_{\hat{X}}(\sigma^*L - xE)^{n-1}$$

where $K_{\hat{X}} = \sigma^*(K_X) + (n-d-1)E$ is the canonical divisor of $\hat{X}$.
Set $\tilde{a}_i(x) := a_i - a_i(x)$. Following Definition 3.13 in [13], the quotient slope of Z with respect to $\lambda \in (0,\epsilon(Z)]$ is

$$\mu_\lambda(\mathcal{O}_Z) = \mu_\lambda(\mathcal{O}_Z,L) := \frac{\int_o^\lambda \left(\tilde{a}_1(x) + \frac{\tilde{a}_0'(x)}{2}\right)dx}{\int_0^\lambda \tilde{a}_0(x)dx}.$$

Remark 2.4. This is the Remark 4.21 in [14]. Since $\tilde{a}_0(0) = a_0 - a_0(0) = 0$ and

$$\tilde{a}_0'(x) = -a_0'(x) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!}(\sigma^*L - xE)^{n-1}E > 0$$

for all $x \in (0,\epsilon(Z))$, we have $\tilde{a}_0(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (0,\epsilon(Z))$. Therefore $\mu_\lambda(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ is finite.

Definition 2.5. We say that (X, L) is slope stable (resp. slope semistable) with respect to Z if

$$\mu_\lambda(\mathcal{O}_Z) > (\text{ resp. } \geq)\, \mu(X) \text{ for all } \lambda \in (0, \epsilon(Z)].$$

We also say that Z destabilizes (resp. strictly destabilizes) (X, L) if

$$\mu_\lambda(\mathcal{O}_Z) \leq (\text{ resp. } <)\, \mu(X) \text{ for some } \lambda \in (0, \epsilon(Z)].$$

Remark 2.6. Here for simplicity, we use a slightly different definition of slope stability from Ross-Thomas' (Definition 3.8 in [13]): we include $\lambda = \epsilon(Z)$. If it is slope stable in our sense, it is slope stable in the sense of Ross-Thomas. In particular, the statements of our Theorems hold in both senses. The definition of slope semistability coincides with that of Ross-Thomas.

Theorem 2.7 (Theorem 5.1 in [13])**.** *Let (X, L) ba a polarized manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ and suppose that Z is a smooth curve in X of genus g with normal bundle $N_{Z/X}$. Then, for $\lambda \in (0, \epsilon(Z)]$,*

$$\mu_\lambda(\mathcal{O}_Z) = \frac{n^2(n^2-1)(L \cdot Z) - \lambda n(n+1)[(n-2)p + 2(g-1)]}{2n\lambda[(n+1)(L \cdot Z) - \lambda p]}$$

where $p := \deg N_{Z/X}$.

Lemma 2.8. *Let (X, L) be a polarized manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ and suppose that Z is a smooth curve in X with normal bundle $N_{Z/X}$. Then*

$$(n-1)L \cdot Z - \lambda p > 0$$

for all $\lambda \in (0, \epsilon(Z))$ where $p := \deg N_{Z/X}$.

Proof. Let $\sigma : \tilde{X} \to X$ be the blowup along Z with the exceptional divisor E. Then the restriction $\sigma|_E : E \cong \mathbb{P}(N_{Z/X}) \to Z$ is the projection map of the projective normal bundle of Z in X. Set $\omega := c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(N_{Z/X})}(1))$. The Grothendieck formula $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sigma^*(c_i(N_{Z/X})) \cdot \omega^{n-1-i} = 0$ (see p.55 Remark 3.2.4 in [2]) reduces to $\omega^{n-1} = -\sigma^*(c_1(N_{Z/X})) \cdot \omega^{n-2}$. Since $\omega = -E|_E$,

$$(-E)^{n-1} \cdot E = (-E|_E)^{n-1} = -\sigma^*(c_1(N_{Z/X})) \cdot (-E|_E)^{n-2} = -p.$$

Also we have

$$(\sigma^*L) \cdot (-E)^{n-2} \cdot E = (\sigma^*L|_E) \cdot (-E|_E)^{n-2} = (\sigma^*L|_E) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(N_{Z/X})}(1))^{n-2} = L \cdot Z$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma^*L)^i \cdot (-E)^{n-1-i} \cdot E &= (\sigma^*L|_E)^i \cdot (-E|_E)^{n-1-i} \\ &= (\sigma^*L|_E)^i \cdot c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(N_{Z/X})}(1))^{n-1-i} = 0\end{aligned}$$

because $L^i \cdot Z = 0$ for $i = 2, ..., n-1$. Therefore we get the following equalities.

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma^*L - xE)^{n-1} \cdot E &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} (\sigma^*L)^i \cdot (-xE)^{n-i-1} \cdot E \\ &= x^{n-2}\{(n-1)(\sigma^*L) \cdot (-E)^{n-2} \cdot E + x(-E)^{n-1} \cdot E\} \\ &= x^{n-2}\{(n-1)L \cdot Z - xp\}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma^*L - xE$ is ample for all $x \in (0, \epsilon(Z))$, we have $(\sigma^*L - xE)^{n-1} \cdot E > 0$ for all $x \in (0, \epsilon(Z))$ and hence we get the inequality. $\square$

Corollary 2.9. *Let (X, L) be a polarized manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ and suppose that Z is a smooth curve of genus g in X with normal bundle $N_{Z/X}$. Then Z destabilizes (resp. strictly destabilizes) (X, L) if and only if*

$$2p\mu(X)\lambda^2 - (n+1)[(n-2)p + 2(g-1) + 2(L \cdot Z)\mu(X)]\lambda + n(n^2-1)(L \cdot Z)$$

≤ 0 (*resp.* < 0) *for some* $\lambda \in (0, \epsilon(Z)]$ *where* $p := \deg N_{Z/X}$.

Proof. From Lemma 2.8, we know that the denominator of $\mu_\lambda(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ in Theorem 2.7 is positive for all $\lambda \in (0, \epsilon(Z)]$. Thus the corollary comes from the definition. ☐

The following lemma is Remark in Section 8 in [11]. We give the proof for the readers' convenience.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $(X, -K_X)$ be a Fano manifold of dimension n and Z be a smooth closed subscheme of codimension r. If $\epsilon(Z) \leq r$, then $(X, -K_X)$ is slope stable with respect to Z.*

Proof. If $\epsilon(Z) \leq r$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\mu(X)\tilde{a}_0(x) + \tilde{a}_1(x) + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{a}_0'(x)\\
&= -\mu(X)(a_0 - a_0(x)) + (a_1 - a_1(x)) - \frac{1}{2}a_0'(x)\\
&= -\mu(X)a_0 + a_1 + \mu(X)a_0(x) - a_1(x) - \frac{1}{2}a_0'(x)\\
&= \frac{n}{2}\frac{(\sigma^*(-K_X) - xE)^n}{n!} + \frac{K_{\hat{X}}(\sigma^*(-K_X) - xE)^{n-1}}{2(n-1)!} + \frac{(\sigma^*(-K_X) - xE)^{n-1}E}{2(n-1)!}\\
&= \frac{1}{2(n-1)!}(\sigma^*(-K_X) - xE)^{n-1}(\sigma^*(-K_X) - xE + K_{\hat{X}} + E)\\
&= \frac{1}{2(n-1)!}(\sigma^*(-K_X) - xE)^{n-1}(\sigma^*(-K_X) - xE + \sigma^*(K_X) + (r-1)E + E)\\
&= \frac{1}{2(n-1)!}(r - x)(\sigma^*(-K_X) - xE)^{n-1}E > 0
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in (0, \epsilon(Z))$. Since $\tilde{a}_0(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (0, \epsilon(Z))$ by Remark 2.4, we have $\mu_\lambda(\mathcal{O}_Z) > \mu(X)$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \epsilon(Z)]$. ☐

Lemma 2.11. *Let Z be a smooth subvariety of a Fano manifold X with codimension r. Let $\pi : Y \to X$ be the blow-up along the subvariety Z with the exceptional divisor E. If the Seshadri constant $\epsilon(Z, X, -K_X)$ is strictly bigger than r, then the exceptional divisor E and subvariety Z must be Fano manifolds.*

Proof. If the projective bundle E over Z is a Fano manifold, then Z is a Fano manifold(Theorem 1.6 in [15]). Therefore, it is enough to show that the exceptional divisor E is a Fano manifold. We have

$$-(K_Y + E) = \pi^*(-K_X) - rE.$$

Since $\epsilon(Z, X, -K_X) > r$, the divisor $-(K_Y + E)$ is ample. By adjunction, we see that the anticanonical divisor $-K_E$ on E is ample. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Lemma 2.10 implies that $\epsilon(Z, X, -K_X)$ is strictly bigger than r. Then Theorem 1.1 immediately follows from Lemma 2.11. ☐

Corollary 2.12. *The polarized manifold $(X, -K_X)$ is slope stable with respect to every non-rational smooth curve.*

Proof. It immediately follows from Theorem 1.1. $\square$

Lemma 2.13. *If the projective space bundle over* $\mathbb{P}^1$

$$V = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i)\right), \quad a_1 = 0, a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

is a Fano manifold, then the bundle is either trivial or $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{n-2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$.

Proof. Let $\pi : V \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the natural projection. Let F be a fiber of π and M be a divisor given by the Grothendiek tautological invertible sheaf. Then $\mathrm{Pic}(V) = \mathbb{Z}M \oplus \mathbb{Z}F$ and

$$-K_V = nM + (2-d)F,$$

where $d = \sum a_i$. Note that $F^2 \cdot M^{n-2} = 0$, $F \cdot M^{n-1} = 1$ and $M^n = d$.
Consider the section s of π that corresponds to the quotient $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ of the bundle $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i)$. Let $l = s(\mathbb{P}^1)$. We then see

$$l \equiv M^{n-1} - dF \cdot M^{n-2}.$$

Since $-K_V$ is ample, we obtain

$$-K_V \cdot l = (nM + (2-d)F) \cdot (M^{n-1} - dF \cdot M^{n-2}) = 2 - d > 0.$$

The inequality $d < 2$ completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 2.14. *If the polarized manifold* $(X, -K_X)$ *is not slope stable with respect to a smooth rational curve* Z*, then the normal bundle* $N_{Z/X}$ *is one of the following;*

- $N_{Z/X} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{n-1}(a)$;
- $N_{Z/X} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{n-2}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a+1)$,

where a *is an integer.*

Proof. Let Z be a smooth rational curve on X. Suppose that the polarized manifold $(X, -K_X)$ is not slope stable with respect to the smooth rational curve Z. Let $\pi : Y \to X$ be the blowup along the curve Z with the exceptional divisor E. Then Lemma 2.11 implies that the exceptional divisor E is a smooth Fano manifold. The exceptional divisor E is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}(N_{Z/X})$, where $N_{Z/X}$ is the normal bundle of Z on X. The bundle can be decomposed into $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i)$, $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_{n-1}$. Note that

$$\mathbb{P}(N_{Z/X}) \cong \mathbb{P}\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i - a_1)\right).$$

By Lemma 2.13 we obtain either

- $a_i = a_1$ for each i or
- $a_i = a_1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ and $a_{n-1} = a_1 + 1$.

$\square$

Corollary 2.14 will be used to prove Theorems 1.2, 1.3, and 1.4. A vector bundle over a manifold whose projectivisation is a Fano manifold is called a Fano bundle. Studies on Fano bundles may show a way to understand higher dimensional submanifolds destablizing Fano manifolds.

3. SLOPES OF SMOOTH RATIONAL CURVES IN A FANO MANIFOLD

Let X be a Fano manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$. Throughout we will fix the polarization given by the anticanonical bundle $-K_X$. Let Z be a smooth rational curve in X with the normal bundle $N_{Z/X}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let X be a Fano manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ and Z be a smooth rational curve in X.*

(i) *If $(-K_X) \cdot Z = 2$, then $(X, -K_X)$ is slope stable (resp. slope semistable) with respect to Z if and only if $\epsilon(Z) < n$(resp. $\leq n$).*

(ii) *If $(-K_X) \cdot Z = 1$, then $(X, -K_X)$ is slope stable (resp. slope semistable) with respect to Z if and only if $\epsilon(Z) < \sqrt{n^2-1}$ (resp. $\leq \sqrt{n^2-1}$).*

(iii) *If $(-K_X) \cdot Z \geq 3$ and $\epsilon(Z) \leq (-K_X) \cdot Z$, then $(X, -K_X)$ is slope semistable with respect to Z, and Z destabilizes $(X, -K_X)$ if and only if $\epsilon(Z) = (-K_X) \cdot Z = n+1$.*

Proof. Set $p := c_1(N_{Z/X})$. Then $(-K_X) \cdot Z = p+2$. Note $\mu(X) = \frac{n}{2}$. From Corollary 2.9, we get that Z destabilizes (resp. strictly destabilizes) $(X, -K_X)$ if and only if

$$f(\lambda) := pn\lambda^2 - 2(n^2-1)(p+1)\lambda + n(n^2-1)(p+2) \leq 0 \text{ (} resp \text{ . } < 0)$$

for some $\lambda \in (0, \epsilon(Z)]$. If $(-K_X) \cdot Z = 2$, $p = 0$ and hence $f(\lambda) = -2(n^2-1)(\lambda - n)$, which implies (i). If $(-K_X) \cdot Z = 1$, $p = -1$ and so $f(\lambda) = -n(\lambda^2 - (n^2-1))$, which implies (ii). Assume $(-K_X) \cdot Z \geq 3$. Then $p \geq 1$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda) &= pn\left(\lambda - \frac{(p+1)(n^2-1)}{pn}\right)^2 - \frac{(p+1)^2(n^2-1)^2}{pn} + n(n^2-1)(p+2) \\ &= pn\left(\lambda - \frac{(p+1)(n^2-1)}{pn}\right)^2 + \frac{(n^2-1)((p+1)^2 - n^2)}{pn} \end{aligned}$$

Thus if $p > n-1$, then $f(\lambda) > 0$ for all λ and $(X, -K_X)$ is slope stable with respect to Z. It remains to consider the case $1 \leq p \leq n-1$. Note that f has a minimum value at $\frac{(p+1)(n^2-1)}{pn}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &(p+2) - \frac{(p+1)(n^2-1)}{pn} \\ &\leq (n+1) - \frac{(p+1)(n^2-1)}{pn} = \frac{(n+1)(p-n+1)}{pn} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence $p+2 \leq \frac{(p+1)(n^2-1)}{pn}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} f(p+2) &= pn(p+2)^2 - 2(n^2-1)(p+1)(p+2) + n(n^2-1)(p+2) \\ &= (p+2)\{pn(p+2) - 2(n^2-1)(p+1) + n(n^2-1)\} \\ &= (p+2)(p-n+1)\{n(p-n+1)+2\}, \end{aligned}$$

$f(p+2) \geq 0$ and $f(p+2) = 0$ if and only if $p = n-1$. This means that $f(\lambda) \geq 0$ for all $\lambda \in (0, p+2]$, and $f(\lambda) = 0$ for some $\lambda \in (0, p+2]$ if and only if $p = n-1$ and $\lambda = p+2$.
Hence if $\epsilon(Z) \leq (-K_X) \cdot Z = p+2$, $f(\lambda) \geq 0$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \epsilon(Z)]$, and $f(\lambda) = 0$ for some $\lambda \in (0, \epsilon(Z)]$ if and only if $\epsilon(Z) = p+2 = n+1$ and $\lambda = n+1$. We are done. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a Fano manifold of dimension ≥ 2. Then for every smooth rational curve Z with $(-K_X) \cdot Z \geq 3$, we have $\epsilon(Z) \leq (-K_X) \cdot Z$.*

Proof. Let $h : Z \hookrightarrow X$ be the embedding of Z in X. By II.2.2 in [6], the deformation space of h fixing one point has dimension $\geq -K_X Z \geq 3$. Since the group of automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^1$ fixing one point has dimension 2, Z moves in X with one point fixed. So one can find a curve $C (\neq Z)$ in X which is algebraically equivalent to Z and $C \cap Z \neq \emptyset$. Hence $\epsilon(Z) \leq (-K_X) \cdot C = (-K_X) \cdot Z$ by Lemma 2.1. $\square$

Proposition 3.3. *Let $Z \subset X$ be a smooth rational curve on a Fano manifold of dimension n with $(-K_X) \cdot Z = n+1$. Suppose that $(-K_X) \cdot C \geq n+1$ for any rational curve $C \subset X$ with $Z \cap C \neq \emptyset$. Then $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ and Z is a line on $\mathbb{P}^n$.*

Proof. Using the 11th condition of Corollary 0.4 in [1], it suffices to show that for a general point $x_0 \in X$, every rational curve R through x_0 satisfies $(-K_X) \cdot R \geq n+1$. Suppose not. Then rational curves of $(-K_X)$-degree $\leq n$ cover the whole variety X. In particular, there exists a rational curve C with $(-K_X) \cdot C \leq n$ passing through a point of Z, a contradiction. $\square$

Proposition 3.4. *Let X be a Fano manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ with the anticanonical polarization. Let $Z \subset X$ be a smooth rational curve. If $(-K_X) \cdot Z \geq 3$, then X is slope stable with respect to Z, except when $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ and Z is a line;*

Proof. Lemma 3.2 says that $\epsilon(Z) \leq (-K_Z) \cdot Z$ and hence, by Proposition 3.1 (iii), $(X, -K_X)$ is slope stable with respect to Z except when $\epsilon(Z) = (-K_X) \cdot Z = n+1$.
If $\epsilon(Z) = (-K_X) \cdot Z = n+1$, $(-K_X) \cdot C \geq n+1$ for any rational curve $C (\neq Z) \subset X$ with $Z \cap C \neq \emptyset$ by Lemma 2.1. Proposition 3.3 says that this condition is equivalent to $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ and Z is a line in $\mathbb{P}^n$. $\square$

Remark 3.5. Let l be a line in $\mathbb{P}^n$ $(n \geq 2)$. Then $(-K_{\mathbb{P}^n}) \cdot l = n+1$ and $\epsilon(l, -K_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = n+1$ by Example 2.3. Thus this is an example satisfying the upper bound of Seshadri constant in Lemma 3.2. In fact, $(\mathbb{P}^n, -K_{\mathbb{P}^n})$ is slope semistable but not slope stable with respect to l. Proposition 3.4 says that $\mathbb{P}^n$ is the only Fano manifold which has a destabilizing smooth rational curve with anticanonical degree ≥ 3.

Proposition 3.6. *Let X be a Fano manifold of dimension n. Assume that there exists a smooth rational curve $Z \subset X$ with trivial normal bundle. Then there exists a rational curve C with $C \neq Z$, $C \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ and $(-K_X) \cdot C \leq n$.*

Proof. The deformations of Z form an $(n-1)$-dimensional family of smooth rational curves with trivial normal bundles. It follows that there exists an irreducible complete subscheme Y in the Hilbert scheme of curves on X with a universal family $\pi : U \to Y$ and a dominant morphism $\rho : U \to X$ with the following properties.

(i) There exists a dense open subset $Y^o \subset Y$ such that $\rho|_{U^o} : U^o \to X$ is unramified where $U^o := \pi^{-1}(Y^o)$ and $\pi|_{U^o}$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle.

(ii) For each $y \in Y$, let $\ell_y \subset X$ be the curve $\rho(\pi^{-1}(y))$. Then $Z = \ell_{y_o}$ for some $y_o \in Y$ and, for each $y \in Y^o$, $\rho|_{\pi^{-1}(y)} : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \ell_y$ is an embedding.

(iii) For $y_1 \in Y^o$ and $y_2 \in Y \backslash \{y_1\}$, the curve ℓ_{y_1} is distinct from any irreducible component of ℓ_{y_2}.

Suppose that the morphism ρ is not birational. Then, by (i) and (ii), for a general point $x \in Z$, we have two $u_1 \neq u_2 \in \rho^{-1}(x)$ such that $\pi(u_1) = y_o \neq \pi(u_2)$. By the property (iii), a component of $\ell_{\pi(u_2)}$ through x gives the desired curve C. Suppose that the morphism ρ is birational. Then, by (i), we may regard U^o as an open subset in X. Now apply Theorem 2.1 of [7] to get a rational curve C_y intersecting ℓ_y for a general $y \in Y^o$ such that $C_y \neq \ell_y$ and $(-K_X) \cdot C_y \leq n+1$. The proper transform $\tilde{C}_y$ in U is a rational curve with $\dim \pi(\tilde{C}_y) = 1$. From the generality of y, we can find an irreducible curve $\tilde{C}_{y_o}$ intersecting $\pi^{-1}(y_o)$ with $\dim \pi(\tilde{C}_{y_o}) = 1$ such that the image $C = \rho(\tilde{C}_{y_o})$ satisfies $C \neq Z$, $C \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ and $(-K_X) \cdot C \leq n+1$. We are done if $(-K_X) \cdot C < n+1$. Assume that $(-K_X) \cdot C = n+1$.

We can deform C with a point $x_o = C \cap Z$ fixed to have an $(n-1)$-dimensional family of distinct rational curves through x_o. If this family of rational curves through x_o form a complete family, then $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ by [1] and cannot contain a rational curve with trivial normal bundle unless $n = 1$. Thus this family of curves cannot be complete and there exists a reducible (or non-reduced) curve C' with $(-K_X) \cdot C' = n+1$. If C' has more than one component, then one of the component, say C'', must be distinct from Z, intersects Z and satisfies $(-K_X) \cdot C'' < n+1$. Thus we are done. If on the other hand, C' is irreducible and non-reduced, its reduction C_0 is a rational curve with $(-K_X) \cdot C_0 < n+1$ passing through x_o. If $C_0 \neq Z$, we are done. It remains to exclude the possibility of $C_0 = Z$. If this happens, it means that the curve C is numerically equivalent to a multiple of Z. Take an irreducible hypersurface $D \subset Y$ such that

(a) $y_o \notin D$,
(b) $D \cap \pi(U^o \cap \tilde{C}) \neq \emptyset$ and
(c) $\pi(U^o \cap \tilde{C}) \not\subset D$.

Then C has non-empty intersection with the hypersurface $\rho \circ \pi^{-1}(D)$, but Z is disjoint from $\rho \circ \pi^{-1}(D)$ from the birationality of ρ. This is a contradiction to the assumption that C is in the same numerical class as some multiple of Z. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that the polarized Fano manifold $(X, -K_X)$ is not slope stable with respect to a smooth curve Z. By Corollary 2.14 the curve Z is a rational curve whose normal bundle is either

- $N_{Z/X} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{n-1}(a)$ or
- $N_{Z/X} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{n-2}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a+1)$,

where a is an integer.

Now we suppose that if the Fano manifold X is $\mathbb{P}^n$, then Z is not a line. By Proposition 3.4 we see that $-K_X \cdot Z$ is either 2 or 1. Therefore we obtain

$$\deg(N_{Z/X}) = -2 - K_X \cdot Z = 0 \quad \text{if } -K_X \cdot Z = 2;$$

$$\deg(N_{Z/X}) = -2 - K_X \cdot Z = -1 \quad \text{if } -K_X \cdot Z = 1.$$

If the normal bundle of Z is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{n-1}(a)$, then $\deg(N_{Z/X}) = (n-1)a$. Therefore, $-K_X \cdot Z = 2$ and $a = 0$. If the normal bundle of Z is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{n-2}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a+1)$, then $\deg(N_{Z/X}) = (n-1)a + 1$. However, the number $(n-1)a+1$ can be neither 0 nor -1 since $n \geq 4$. Consequently, the curve Z is a rational curve with trivial normal bundle.

Proposition 3.6 shows that we have a rational curve C with $C \neq Z$, $Z \cap C \neq \emptyset$ and $-K_X \cdot C \leq n$. It then follows from Lemma 2.1 that $\epsilon(X, -K_X, Z) \leq -K_X \cdot C \leq n$. Then Proposition 3.1 completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The proof is the same as the proof of Theorem 1.2. The only difference is that we may have $a = -1$ for the normal bundle $N_{Z/X} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a+1)$. □

Remark 3.7. Let (X_i, L_i), $i = 1, 2$, be polarized manifolds. By Remark 3.9 in [13]

$$\mu(X_1 \times X_2, L_1 \boxtimes L_2) = \mu(X_1, L_1) + \mu(X_2, L_2)$$

and, for a subscheme Z of X_2, we have

$$\mu_\lambda(\mathcal{O}_{X_1 \times Z}, L_1 \boxtimes L_2) = \mu(X_1, L_1) + \mu_\lambda(\mathcal{O}_Z, L_2)$$

and

$$\epsilon(X_1 \times Z, L_1 \boxtimes L_2) = \epsilon(Z, L_2).$$

So Z destabilizes (resp. strictly destabilizes) (X_2, L_2) if and only if $X_1 \times Z$ destabilizes (resp. strictly destabilizes) $(X_1 \times X_2, L_1 \boxtimes L_2)$. Since any polarized manifold is slope semistable with respect to any smooth point by Theorem 4.29 in [14], $(X_1 \times X_2, L_1 \boxtimes L_2)$ is slope semistable with respect to any fiber $X_1 \times \{p\}$, $p \in X_2$.

Example 3.8. Let $X := \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Since $\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{p\}, X, -K_X) = \epsilon(p, , \mathbb{P}^{n-1}, -K_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}) = n$, $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{p\}$ destabilizes $(X, -K_X)$ by Proposition 3.1 (i) although $(X, -K_X)$ is slope semistable with respect to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{p\}$ by Remark 3.7. This shows that we cannot improve Theorem 1.2 to slope stability.

Remark 3.9. We remark that Theorem 4.29 in [14] says that $\epsilon(p, X, -K_X) \leq n + 1$ for any smooth point $p \in X$. We also know that $\epsilon(p, \mathbb{P}^n, -K_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = n + 1$ for any smooth point p in $\mathbb{P}^n$. The following Lemma 3.10 shows that $\mathbb{P}^n$ is the unique Fano manifold having a point satisfying the equality.

Lemma 3.10. *Let X be a Fano manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ with $X \ncong \mathbb{P}^n$. Then $\epsilon(p, X, -K_X) \leq n$ for all point $p \in X$.*

Proof. If $X \neq \mathbb{P}^n$, rational curves of $(-K_X)$-degree $\leq n$ cover the whole variety X by the 11th condition of Corollary 0.4 in [1]. Hence, for any point $p \in X$, there exists a rational curve C' with $(-K_X) \cdot C' \leq n$ passing through it and so $\epsilon(p, X, -K_X) \leq n$. □

Proposition 3.11. *Let $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y$ where Y is a Fano manifold of dimension $n - 1$. Then there exists a point $p \in Y$ such that the fiber $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{p\}$ destabilizes $(X, -K_X)$ if and only if $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.*

Proof. If $Y \ncong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, $\epsilon(p, Y, -K_Y) \leq n - 1$ for any point p in Y by Lemma 3.10. So $\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{p\}, X, -K_X) \leq n - 1$ by Remark 3.7. Since the normal bundle of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{p\}$ is trivial, by Proposition 3.1 (i), $(X, -K_X)$ is slope stable with respect to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{p\}$ for any point $p \in Y$.
If $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, then any fiber $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{p\}$ destabilizes $(X, -K_X)$ by Example 3.8. □

Lemma 3.12. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold and let C be a smooth curve on X. Let $\sigma : \tilde{X} \to X$ be the blowup of X along the curve C. Denote the exceptional divisor of σ by E. Then $(-K_{\tilde{X}})^2 \cdot E = (-K_X) \cdot C + 2 - 2g(C)$.*

Proof. From Lemma 2.2.14. in [4] or the proof of Lemma 2.8, we have the following equalities.

$$\begin{aligned}
(-K_{\tilde{X}})^2 E &= (\sigma^* K_X + E)^2 E \\
&= (\sigma^* K_X)^2 E + 2\sigma^* K_X \cdot E^2 + E^3 \\
&= 0 - 2K_X \cdot C - \deg(N_{C/X}) \\
&= -K_X \cdot C - 2g(C) + 2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.13. Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^3$ be the blowup along a line l, and let E be the exceptional divisor of π. Then $E = \mathbb{F}_0$. Let Z be a fiber of the map $\pi|_E : E \to l$. We note that $N_{Z/X} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$. Now we want to show that $\epsilon(Z, X, -K_X) = 3$.
Let C be the section of $\pi|_E : E \to l$ with $C^2 = 0$. Then $-K_X|_E = C + \alpha Z$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $(-K_X|_E)^2 = (-K_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \cdot l + 2 = 6$ by Lemma 3.12 and $(-K_X|_E)^2 = (C + \alpha Z)^2 = 2\alpha = 6$, we have $\alpha = 3$ and hence $-K_X|_E = C + 3Z$. Therefore $\epsilon(Z, X, -K_X) \leq (-K_X) \cdot C = (-K_X|_E) \cdot C = (C + 3Z) \cdot C = 3$ by Lemma 2.1.

To show the equality, suppose not, i.e. $\epsilon(Z, X, -K_X) < 3$. From Example 2.3, we have $\epsilon(l, \mathbb{P}^3, -K_{\mathbb{P}^3}) = 4$ and so

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon(E, X, -K_X) &= \epsilon(E, X, -\pi^*(K_{\mathbb{P}^3}) - E) \\ &= \max\{x \mid (-\pi^*(K_{\mathbb{P}^3}) - E) - xE \text{ is nef}\} \\ &= \max\{x \mid -\pi^*(K_{\mathbb{P}^3}) - (x+1)E \text{ is nef}\} \\ &= \max\{x \mid -\pi^*(K_{\mathbb{P}^3}) - xE \text{ is nef}\} - 1 \\ &= \epsilon(l, \mathbb{P}^3, -K_{\mathbb{P}^3}) - 1 = 3.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the assumption $\epsilon(Z, X, -K_X) < 3$ implies that

$$\epsilon(Z, X, -K_X) < \epsilon(E, X, -K_X),$$

and hence $\epsilon(Z, X, -K_X) = \epsilon(Z, E, -K_X|_E)$ by the Remark 2.2 (ii). But we have

$$\epsilon(Z, E, -K_X|_E) = \max\{x \mid (-K_X|_E) - xZ = C + (3-x)Z \text{ is nef}\} = 3,$$

a contradiction.

In conclusion, we showed that $\epsilon(Z, X, -K_X) = 3$. Therefore, Z strictly destabilizes $(X, -K_X)$ by Proposition 3.1 (ii), and we cannot improve Theorem 1.3. We however note that the Picard number of X is 2.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let Z be a smooth rational curve on X. By Theorem 1.3, we only need to consider a smooth rational curve with normal bundle either $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$ or trivial.

If the normal bundle $N_{Z/X}$ is trivial, there is a curve $C(\neq Z)$ which is algebraically equivalent to Z and $C \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ by Proposition 2 in [3]. So $\epsilon(Z) \leq (-K_X) \cdot C = (-K_X) \cdot Z = 2$ by Lemma 2.1. Therefore the polarized manifold $(X, -K_X)$ is slope stable with respect to Z by Proposition 3.1 (i).

Therefore, we have only to consider a smooth rational curve Z on a Fano threefold X with the normal bundle $N_{Z/X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$.

Since $(-K_X) \cdot Z = \deg(N_{Z/X}) + 2 = 1$, the Fano manifold X is of Fano index 1. Let g be the genus of X, i.e., $g = \frac{1}{2}(-K_X)^3 + 1$.

Case $g \geq 4$: By Remark 4.3.6 in [4], there exists a curve $C(\neq Z)$ such that $(-K_X) \cdot Z = 1$ and $C \cap Z \neq \emptyset$. So $\epsilon(Z) \leq (-K_X) \cdot C = 1$ by Lemma 2.1. Hence the result follows from Proposition 3.1 (ii).

Case $g = 3$: Propositions 4.1.11 and 4.1.12 in [4] show that the Fano manifold X belongs to one of the following two cases.

1. X is anticanonically embedded in $\mathbb{P}^4$ as a quartic and Z is a line.
   Choose a plane H so that $H \cap X = Z \cup Z'$ and Z is not a component of Z'. Set $C := Z'$. Then $(-K_X) \cdot C = 3$.
   Let $\sigma : \tilde{X} \to X$ be the blowing up of X with center Z, and E be the exceptional divisor of σ. Let $\bar{C}$ be the proper transform of C. Since the intersection number of C and Z in H is 3, $E \cdot \bar{C} = 3$. Hence $(\sigma^*(-K_X) - xE) \cdot \bar{C} = (-K_X) \cdot C - xE \cdot \bar{C} = 3 - 3x$. So if $\sigma^*(-K_X) - xE$ is nef, then $x \leq 1$. Therefore $\epsilon(Z) \leq 1$ and hence $(X, -K_X)$ is slope stable with respect to Z by Proposition 3.1 (ii).
2. The anticanonical linear system $|-K_X|$ defines a finite morphism $\varphi = \varphi_{|-K_X|} : X \to Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ of degree 2 onto a nonsingular quadric Q ramified along a surface S of degree 8. Set $Z' := \varphi(Z)$. Then Z' is a line in $\mathbb{P}^4$. Choose a line $l(\neq Z')$ in Q with $l \cap Z' \neq \emptyset$. Let C be a component of $\varphi^{-1}(l)$ intersecting Z. Then $(-K_X) \cdot C \leq 2 \deg l = 2$ and

$C \cap Z \neq \emptyset$. So $\epsilon(Z) \leq (-K_X) \cdot C \leq 2$ by Lemma 2.1. Therefore $(X, -K_X)$ is slope stable with respect to Z by Proposition 3.1 (ii).

*C*ase $g = 2$: The anticanonical linear system $|-K_X|$ defines a finite morphism $\varphi = \varphi_{|-K_X|} : X \to \mathbb{P}^3$ of degree 2 ramified along a surface of degree 6 (Proposition 4.1.11 in [4]). Let $Z' := \varphi(Z)$. Choose a line l on $\mathbb{P}^3$ such that $l \neq Z'$ and $l \cap Z' \neq \emptyset$. Take an irreducible component C of $\varphi^{-1}(l)$ intersecting Z. Then $-K_X \cdot C \leq 2$ and $Z \cap C \neq \emptyset$. So $\epsilon(Z) \leq (-K_X) \cdot C \leq 2$ by Lemma 2.1. Therefore $(X, -K_X)$ is slope stable with respect to Z by Proposition 3.1 (ii).

This completes the proof. □

As seen in the proof of Theorem 1.4, on a given Fano manifold, its Fano index gives us a lower bound for the intersection numbers of its anticanonical divisor with curves. Note that the Fano index of an n-dimensional Fano manifold is at most $n+1$. Furthermore, the theorem of Kobayashi-Ochiai (see [5]) states that it is $n+1$ (resp. n) if and only if the Fano manifold is $\mathbb{P}^n$ (resp. a quadric hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$). Based on this simple observation, we are able to obtain the following:

Corollary 3.14. *Let X be a Fano manifold of dimension $n \geq 4$. If the Fano index of X is at least 3 and at most n, then the polarized manifold $(X, -K_X)$ is slope stable with respect to any smooth curve.*

Proof. Because of the Fano index, the Fano manifold X cannot be $\mathbb{P}^n$. If a smooth curve C destabilizes $(X, -K_X)$, then $-K_X \cdot C = 2$ by Theorem 1.2. However, X cannot have such a curve because of its Fano index. □

Corollary 3.15. *Let X be a Fano manifold of dimension 3.*

(1) *If the Fano index of X is 2, then the polarized manifold $(X, -K_X)$ is slope semistable with respect to any smooth curve.*

(2) *If the Fano index of X is 3, i.e., the manifold X is a quadric hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^4$, then the polarized manifold $(X, -K_X)$ is slope stable with respect to any smooth curve.*

Proof. Theorem 1.3 and the same argument as the previous corollary give us an immediate proof. □

Acknowledgements. Yongnam Lee would like to thank Noboru Nakayama and Yuji Odaka for valuable comments at an informal seminar talk in RIMS. The paper was worked out during his visit to KIAS and RIMS in 2010 as an affiliate member and as a visiting professor. He appreciates KIAS and RIMS for hospitality and financial support. Jihun Park would like to thank Yuji Sano for helpful conversations at Ise-Shima and Nagoya in Japan and at Pohang in Korea where the ideas on Fano bundles occurred to him.

References

[1] K. Cho, Y. Miyaoka and N.I. Shepherd-Barron, Characterizations of projective space and applications to complex symplectic manifolds. Higher dimensional birational geometry (Kyoto, 1997), 1–88, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 35, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2002.

[2] W. Fulton, Intersection theory. Second edition. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. 2. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[3] J.-M. Hwang, On the degrees of Fano four-folds of Picard number 1. J. Reine Angew. Math. 556 (2003), 225–235.

[4] V. A. Iskovskikh and Yu. G. Prokhorov, Algebraic Geometry V (Fano Varieties). Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1999.

[5] S. Kobayashi and T. Ochiai, Characterizations of complex projective spaces and hyperquadrics. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 13 (1973), 31–47.

[6] J. Kollár, Rational curves on Algebraic Varieties, Springer Verlag, Egrebnisse der Math. vol.32, 1995.

[7] J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka and S. Mori, Rational connectedness and boundedness of Fano manifolds. J. Diff. Geom. 36 (1992), 765–779.

[8] R. Lazarsfeld, Positivity in algebraic geometry. I. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. 48. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[9] D. Panov and J. Ross, Slope stability and exceptional divisors of high genus. Math. Ann. 343 (2009), 79–101.

[10] S. Paul and G. Tian, Analysis of geometric stability. Int. Math. Res. Not. 2004, no. 48, 2555–2591

[11] J. Ross, Instability of polarised algebraic varieties. PhD thesis, Imperial College, 2003.

[12] J. Ross, Unstable products of smooth curves. Invent. Math. 165 (2006), 153–162.

[13] J. Ross and R. Thomas, An obstruction to the existence of constant scalar curvature Kahler metrics. J. Diff. Geom. 72 (2006), 429–466.

[14] J. Ross and R. Thomas, A study of the Hilbert-Mumford criterion for the stability of projective varieties. J. Alg. Geom. 16 (2007), 201–255.

[15] M. Szurek, J. Wiśniewski, Fano bundles over P^3 and Q_3, Pacific J. Math. 141 (1990), 197–208.

Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Hoegiro 87, Seoul 130-722, Korea
E-mail address: `jmhwang@kias.re.kr`

Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Hoegiro 87, Seoul 130-722, Korea
E-mail address: `hosung@sogang.ac.kr`

Department of Mathematics, Sogang University, Seoul 121-742, Korea
E-mail address: `ynlee@sogang.ac.kr`

Department of Mathematics, POSTECH, Pohang, Kyungbuk, 790-784, Korea
E-mail address: `wlog@postech.ac.kr`

arXiv:1005.4310v3 [math.AG] 10 Mar 2011

SLOPES OF SMOOTH CURVES ON FANO MANIFOLDS

JUN-MUK HWANG[1], HOSUNG KIM[1], YONGNAM LEE[2], AND JIHUN PARK[3]

Abstract. Ross and Thomas introduced the concept of slope stability to study K-stability, which has conjectural relation with the existence of constant scalar curvature Kähler metric. This paper presents a study of slope stability of Fano manifolds of dimension $n \geq 3$ with respect to smooth curves. The question turns out to be easy for curves of genus ≥ 1 and the interest lies in the case of smooth rational curves. Our main result classifies completely the cases when a polarized Fano manifold $(X, -K_X)$ is not slope stable with respect to a smooth curve. Our result also states that a Fano threefold X with Picard number 1 is slope stable with respect to every smooth curve unless X is the projective space.

1. Introduction

One of the fundamental problems in Kähler geometry is to determine which Fano manifold X admits a Kähler-Einstein metric. It is expected that the existence of a Kähler-Einstein metric is closely related to the stability condition of the polarized manifold $(X, -K_X)$. In fact, it is known that, for a polarized manifold (X, L), the existence of constant scalar curvature Kähler metric in the class $c_1(L)$ implies K-semistability of (X, L) (cf. [1], [3]).

The K-semistability of a polarized manifold is often very hard to check. To remedy this, Ross and Thomas introduced the notion of the slope (semi-)stability of a polarized manifold (X, L) and showed that K-(semi-)stability implies slope (semi-)stability (cf. [16]). The question of the slope stability of a given polarized manifold with respect to a subscheme is an interesting algebro-geometric problem in itself. Many cases have been worked out in [11], [13], [14], [15], [16].

An essential difficulty in this problem often lies in the estimation of the Seshadri number of the ample line bundle along the subvarieties. This way, it is related to the study of Seshadri numbers, which is an important subject in classical algebraic geometry.

In this paper, we study the slope stability of a Fano manifold $(X, -K_X)$ with respect to smooth curves. It is rather easy to see that $(X, -K_X)$ is always slope stable with respect to a smooth curve of genus ≥ 1 (cf. Corollary 2.12). Thus our main concern will be smooth rational curves. Since a Fano manifold is covered by rational curves, many geometric properties of a Fano manifold can be described by rational curves. We completely classify the cases when a polarized Fano manifold $(X, -K_X)$ is not slope stable with respect to a smooth curve. More precisely,

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a Fano manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$. If the polarized manifold $(X, -K_X)$ is not slope stable with respect to a smooth subvariety Z, then the subvariety Z is a Fano manifold.*

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a Fano manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$.*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J45; 14L24.

Key words and phrases. slope stability, Fano manifold, rational curve.

[1] supported by National Researcher Program 2010-0020413 of NRF and MEST.

[2] supported by Mid-career Researcher Program through NRF grant funded by the MEST (No. 2010-0008752).

[3] supported by Basic Science Research Program through NRF grant funded by the MEST (No. 2010-0008235).

(1) *If the polarized manifold* $(X, -K_X)$ *is not slope stable with respect to a smooth curve* Z*, then the curve* Z *is one of the following*
   (a) *a rational curve whose normal bundle trivial and whose Seshadri constant with respect to* $-K_X$ *is* n*;*
   (b) *a rational curve whose normal bunble is* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{n-2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$*;*
   (c) *a line on* $\mathbb{P}^n$*.*

(2) *The polarized threefold* $(X, -K_X)$ *is slope semistable with respect to every smooth curve except when the curve* Z *is a rational curve whose normal bundle is* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{n-2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$*.*

Theorem 1.3. *Let* X *be a Fano manifold of Picard number* 1 *and dimension* $n \geq 3$*. Then the polarized manifold* $(X, -K_X)$ *is slope stable with respect to a smooth rational curve* Z *whose normal bundle is trivial. Furthermore, in the case of dimension* 3*, the Fano manifold* X *is slope stable with respect to every smooth curve* Z *except when* $X \cong \mathbb{P}^3$ *and* Z *is a line.*

The proofs of the theorems make use of the deformation of rational curves (see [2] and [8]), vector bundles over manifolds whose projectivisations are Fano manifolds and the classification of Fano threefolds with Picard number 1 (see [6]).

In this paper, we work over the field of complex numbers.

2. SLOPE STABILITY AND FANO BUNDLES

This section briefly reviews the concept of slope stability, and proves Theorem 1.1. For more details on slope stability, we refer to [15] and [16].

A polarized manifold (X, L) is a pair of a smooth projective variety X with an ample line bundle L on X.

The Seshadri constant of a proper closed subscheme Z of X with respect to the ample line bundle L is defined as

$$\epsilon(Z, X, L) := \max\{c \mid \sigma^* L - cE \ \text{ is nef }\},$$

where $\sigma : \hat{X} \to X$ is the blowup along Z with the exceptional divisor E. When the polarized manifold is clearly given, we will use shortly $\epsilon(Z)$ instead of $\epsilon(Z, X, L)$.

The following is immediate from the definition.

Lemma 2.1. *Let* (X, L) *be a polarized manifold and* Z *be a a proper closed subscheme of* X*. If there is a curve* C *with* $C \not\subset Z$ *and* $C \cap Z \neq \emptyset$*, then* $\epsilon(Z) \leq L \cdot C$*.*

Remark 2.2. We remark the following facts on Seshadri constant which can be found in Example 5.4.11 and Proposition 5.4.15 in [10].

(i) Let Z_1, Z_2, Z be proper closed subschemes of a projective variety X defined by ideal sheaves $\mathcal{I}_1$, $\mathcal{I}_2$, $\mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2$ respectively. Then for any ample line bundle L on X, we have

$$\epsilon(Z, X, L) \geq \min\{\epsilon(Z_1, X, L), \epsilon(Z_2, X, L)\}.$$

(ii) Consider smooth projective varieties

$$Z \subset Y \subset X$$

and let L be an ample line bundle on X. If $\epsilon(Z, X, L) < \epsilon(Y, X, L)$, then $\epsilon(Z, Y, L|_Y) = \epsilon(Z, X, L)$.

Example 2.3. For any proper linear subspace Z of $\mathbb{P}^n$, we claim that $\epsilon(Z, \mathbb{P}^n, -K_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = n+1$.

For each proper linear subspace Z in $\mathbb{P}^n$, choose a line l in $\mathbb{P}^n$ so that $l \not\subset Z$ and $l \cap Z \neq \emptyset$. Then $\epsilon(Z, \mathbb{P}^n, -K_{\mathbb{P}^n}) \leq (-K_{\mathbb{P}^n}) \cdot l = n+1$ by Lemma 2.1.

For the reverse inequality, we use an induction. For any hyperplane H in $\mathbb{P}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon(H, \mathbb{P}^n, -K_{\mathbb{P}^n}) &= \max\{x | -K_{\mathbb{P}^n} - xH \text{ is nef}\} \\ &= \max\{x | \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(n+1-x) \text{ is nef}\} = n+1.\end{aligned}$$

Suppose the equality holds for any linear subspace of codimension $\leq r-1$. Let Z be a codimension r linear subspace of $\mathbb{P}^n$. Choose hyperplanes H_1,...,H_r so that $H_1 \cap ... \cap H_r = Z$. Then

$$\epsilon(Z, \mathbb{P}^n, -K_{\mathbb{P}^n}) \geq \min\{\epsilon(H_1 \cap ... \cap H_{r-1}, \mathbb{P}^n, -K_{\mathbb{P}^n}), \epsilon(H_r, \mathbb{P}^n, -K_{\mathbb{P}^n})\} = n+1$$

by Remark 2.2 (i) and the induction hypothesis.

Let (X, L) be a polarized manifold of dimension n with Hilbert polynomial

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_X(kL)) = a_0 k^n + a_1 k^{n-1} + O(k^{n-2}),\ k \gg 0.$$

The slope of (X, L) is defined by

$$\mu(X) = \mu(X, L) := \frac{a_1}{a_0} = -\frac{nK_X L^{n-1}}{2L^n}.$$

In particular, if X is Fano and $L = -K_X$, then $\mu(X) = \frac{n}{2}$.

Let Z be a proper closed subscheme of X and $\sigma : \hat{X} \to X$ be the blowup along Z with the exceptional divisor E. For fixed $x \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, define $a_i(x)$ by

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}(\sigma^*(kL) - xkE)) = a_0(x)k^n + a_1(x)k^{n-1} + O(k^{n-2}),\ k \gg 0,\ xk \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $a_i(x)$ can be extended to all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ as a polynomial of degree at most $n-i$. In particular, when Z is a submanifold of dimension d, then we have

$$a_0(x) = \frac{1}{n!}(\sigma^* L - xE)^n$$

and

$$a_1(x) = -\frac{1}{2(n-1)!} K_{\hat{X}}(\sigma^* L - xE)^{n-1}$$

where $K_{\hat{X}} = \sigma^*(K_X) + (n-d-1)E$ is the canonical divisor of $\hat{X}$.

Set $\tilde{a}_i(x) := a_i - a_i(x)$. Following Definition 3.13 in [15], the quotient slope of Z with respect to $\lambda \in (0, \epsilon(Z)]$ is

$$\mu_\lambda(\mathcal{O}_Z) = \mu_\lambda(\mathcal{O}_Z, L) := \frac{\int_o^\lambda \left(\tilde{a}_1(x) + \frac{\tilde{a}_0'(x)}{2}\right) dx}{\int_0^\lambda \tilde{a}_0(x) dx}.$$

Remark 2.4. This is the Remark 4.21 in [16]. Since $\tilde{a}_0(0) = a_0 - a_0(0) = 0$ and

$$\tilde{a}_0'(x) = -a_0'(x) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!}(\sigma^* L - xE)^{n-1} E > 0$$

for all $x \in (0, \epsilon(Z))$, we have $\tilde{a}_0(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (0, \epsilon(Z))$. Therefore $\mu_\lambda(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ is finite.

Definition 2.5. We say that (X, L) is slope stable (resp. slope semistable) with respect to Z if

$$\mu_\lambda(\mathcal{O}_Z) > (\text{ resp. } \geq)\, \mu(X) \text{ for all } \lambda \in (0, \epsilon(Z)].$$

We also say that Z destabilizes (resp. strictly destabilizes) (X, L) if

$$\mu_\lambda(\mathcal{O}_Z) \leq (\text{ resp. } <)\, \mu(X) \text{ for some } \lambda \in (0, \epsilon(Z)].$$

Remark 2.6. Here for simplicity, we use a slightly different definition of slope stability from Ross-Thomas' (Definition 3.8 in [15]): we include $\lambda = \epsilon(Z)$. If it is slope stable in our sense, it is slope stable in the sense of Ross-Thomas. In particular, the statements of our Theorems hold in both senses. The definition of slope semistability coincides with that of Ross-Thomas.

The following theorem is the Theorem 5.1 in [15].

Theorem 2.7. *Let (X, L) ba a polarized manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ and suppose that Z is a smooth curve in X of genus g with normal bundle $N_{Z/X}$. Then, for $\lambda \in (0, \epsilon(Z)]$,*

$$\mu_\lambda(\mathcal{O}_Z) = \frac{n^2(n^2-1)(L\cdot Z) - \lambda n(n+1)[(n-2)p + 2(g-1)]}{2n\lambda[(n+1)(L\cdot Z) - \lambda p]}$$

where $p := \deg N_{Z/X}$.

Lemma 2.8. *Let (X, L) be a polarized manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ and suppose that Z is a smooth curve in X with normal bundle $N_{Z/X}$. Then*

$$(n-1)L\cdot Z - \lambda p > 0$$

for all $\lambda \in (0, \epsilon(Z))$ where $p := \deg N_{Z/X}$.

Proof. Let $\sigma : \tilde{X} \to X$ be the blowup along Z with the exceptional divisor E. Then the restriction $\sigma|_E : E \cong \mathbb{P}(N^*_{Z/X}) \to Z$ is the projection map of the projective normal bundle of Z in X. Set $\omega := c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(N_{Z/X})}(1))$. The Grothendieck formula $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sigma^*(c_i(N_{Z/X}))\cdot\omega^{n-1-i} = 0$ (see p.55 Remark 3.2.4 in [4]) reduces to $\omega^{n-1} = -\sigma^*(c_1(N_{Z/X}))\cdot\omega^{n-2}$. Since $\omega = -E|_E$,

$$(-E)^{n-1}\cdot E = (-E|_E)^{n-1} = -\sigma^*(c_1(N_{Z/X}))\cdot(-E|_E)^{n-2} = -p.$$

Also we have

$$(\sigma^*L)\cdot(-E)^{n-2}\cdot E = (\sigma^*L|_E)\cdot(-E|_E)^{n-2} = (\sigma^*L|_E)\cdot c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(N_{Z/X})}(1))^{n-2} = L\cdot Z$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma^*L)^i\cdot(-E)^{n-1-i}\cdot E &= (\sigma^*L|_E)^i\cdot(-E|_E)^{n-1-i}\\ &= (\sigma^*L|_E)^i\cdot c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(N_{Z/X})}(1))^{n-1-i} = 0\end{aligned}$$

because $L^i\cdot Z = 0$ for $i = 2, ..., n-1$. Therefore we get the following equalities.

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma^*L - xE)^{n-1}\cdot E &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{i}(\sigma^*L)^i\cdot(-xE)^{n-i-1}\cdot E\\ &= x^{n-2}\{(n-1)(\sigma^*L)\cdot(-E)^{n-2}\cdot E + x(-E)^{n-1}\cdot E\}\\ &= x^{n-2}\{(n-1)L\cdot Z - xp\}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma^*L - xE$ is ample for all $x \in (0, \epsilon(Z))$, we have $(\sigma^*L - xE)^{n-1}\cdot E > 0$ for all $x \in (0, \epsilon(Z))$ and hence we get the inequality. □

Corollary 2.9. *Let (X, L) be a polarized manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ and suppose that Z is a smooth curve of genus g in X with normal bundle $N_{Z/X}$. Then Z destabilizes (resp. strictly destabilizes) (X, L) if and only if*

$$2p\mu(X)\lambda^2 - (n+1)[(n-2)p + 2(g-1) + 2(L\cdot Z)\mu(X)]\lambda + n(n^2-1)(L\cdot Z)$$

≤ 0 (*resp.* < 0) *for some $\lambda \in (0, \epsilon(Z)]$ where $p := \deg N_{Z/X}$.*

Proof. From Lemma 2.8, we know that the denominator of $\mu_\lambda(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ in Theorem 2.7 is positive for all $\lambda \in (0, \epsilon(Z)]$. Thus the corollary comes from the definition. $\square$

The following lemma is Remark in Section 8 in [13]. We give the proof for the readers' convenience.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $(X, -K_X)$ be a Fano manifold of dimension n and Z be a smooth closed subscheme of codimension r. If $\epsilon(Z) \leq r$, then $(X, -K_X)$ is slope stable with respect to Z.*

Proof. If $\epsilon(Z) \leq r$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\mu(X)\tilde{a}_0(x) + \tilde{a}_1(x) + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{a}_0'(x) \\
&= -\mu(X)(a_0 - a_0(x)) + (a_1 - a_1(x)) - \frac{1}{2}a_0'(x) \\
&= -\mu(X)a_0 + a_1 + \mu(X)a_0(x) - a_1(x) - \frac{1}{2}a_0'(x) \\
&= \frac{n}{2}\frac{(\sigma^*(-K_X) - xE)^n}{n!} + \frac{K_{\hat{X}}(\sigma^*(-K_X) - xE)^{n-1}}{2(n-1)!} + \frac{(\sigma^*(-K_X) - xE)^{n-1}E}{2(n-1)!} \\
&= \frac{1}{2(n-1)!}(\sigma^*(-K_X) - xE)^{n-1}(\sigma^*(-K_X) - xE + K_{\hat{X}} + E) \\
&= \frac{1}{2(n-1)!}(\sigma^*(-K_X) - xE)^{n-1}(\sigma^*(-K_X) - xE + \sigma^*(K_X) + (r-1)E + E) \\
&= \frac{1}{2(n-1)!}(r - x)(\sigma^*(-K_X) - xE)^{n-1}E > 0
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in (0, \epsilon(Z))$. Since $\tilde{a}_0(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (0, \epsilon(Z))$ by Remark 2.4, we have $\mu_\lambda(\mathcal{O}_Z) > \mu(X)$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \epsilon(Z)]$. $\square$

Lemma 2.11. *Let Z be a smooth subvariety of a Fano manifold X with codimension r. Let $\pi : Y \to X$ be the blow-up along the subvariety Z with the exceptional divisor E. If the Seshadri constant $\epsilon(Z, X, -K_X)$ is strictly bigger than r, then the exceptional divisor E and subvariety Z must be Fano manifolds.*

Proof. If the projective bundle E over Z is a Fano manifold, then Z is a Fano manifold(Theorem 1.6 in [17]). Therefore, it is enough to show that the exceptional divisor E is a Fano manifold. We have

$$-(K_Y + E) = \sigma^*(-K_X) - rE.$$

Since $\epsilon(Z, X, -K_X) > r$, the divisor $-(K_Y + E)$ is ample. By adjunction, we see that the anticanonical divisor $-K_E$ on E is ample. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Lemma 2.10 implies that $\epsilon(Z, X, -K_X)$ is strictly bigger than r. Then Theorem 1.1 immediately follows from Lemma 2.11. $\square$

Corollary 2.12. *The polarized manifold $(X, -K_X)$ is slope stable with respect to every non-rational smooth curve.*

Proof. It immediately follows from Theorem 1.1. $\square$

Lemma 2.13. *If the projective space bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$*

$$V := \mathbb{P}\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i)\right), \quad a_1 = 0,\ a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

is a Fano manifold, then V is either $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^n)$ or $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{n-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))$.

Proof. Let $\pi : V \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the natural projection. Let F be a fiber of π and M be a divisor given by the Grothendieck tautological invertible sheaf. Then $\mathrm{Pic}(V) = \mathbb{Z}M \oplus \mathbb{Z}F$ and

$$-K_V = nM + (2-d)F,$$

where $d = \sum a_i$. Note that $F^2 \cdot M^{n-2} = 0$, $F \cdot M^{n-1} = 1$ and $M^n = d$.

Consider the section s of π that corresponds to the quotient $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ of the bundle $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i)$. Let $l = s(\mathbb{P}^1)$. We then see

$$l \equiv M^{n-1} - dF \cdot M^{n-2}.$$

Since $-K_V$ is ample, we obtain

$$-K_V \cdot l = (nM + (2-d)F) \cdot (M^{n-1} - dF \cdot M^{n-2}) = 2 - d > 0.$$

The inequality $d < 2$ completes the proof. □

Corollary 2.14. *If the polarized manifold $(X, -K_X)$ is not slope stable with respect to a smooth rational curve Z, then the normal bundle $N_{Z/X}$ is one of the following;*

- $N_{Z/X} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{n-1}(-a)$;
- $N_{Z/X} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{n-2}(-a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-a-1)$,

where a is an integer.

Proof. Let Z be a smooth rational curve on X. Suppose that the polarized manifold $(X, -K_X)$ is not slope stable with respect to the smooth rational curve Z. Let $\pi : Y \to X$ be the blowup along the curve Z with the exceptional divisor E. Then Lemma 2.11 implies that the exceptional divisor E is a smooth Fano manifold. The exceptional divisor E is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}(N^*_{Z/X})$, where $N_{Z/X}$ is the normal bundle of Z on X. The bundle $N^*_{Z/X}$ can be decomposed into $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i)$, $a_1 \le a_2 \le \cdots \le a_{n-1}$. Note that

$$\mathbb{P}(N^*_{Z/X}) \cong \mathbb{P}\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i - a_1)\right).$$

By Lemma 2.13 we obtain either

- $a_i = a_1$ for each i or
- $a_i = a_1$ for $1 \le i \le n-2$ and $a_{n-1} = a_1 + 1$.

□

Corollary 2.14 will be used to prove Theorems 1.2, 1.3, and 1.4. A vector bundle over a manifold whose projectivisation is a Fano manifold is called a Fano bundle. Studies on Fano bundles may show a way to understand higher dimensional submanifolds destablizing Fano manifolds.

3. SLOPES OF SMOOTH RATIONAL CURVES IN A FANO MANIFOLD

Let X be a Fano manifold of dimension $n \ge 2$. Throughout we will fix the polarization given by the anticanonical bundle $-K_X$. Let Z be a smooth rational curve in X with the normal bundle $N_{Z/X}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let X be a Fano manifold of dimension $n \ge 2$ and Z be a smooth rational curve in X.*

(i) *If $(-K_X) \cdot Z = 2$, then $(X, -K_X)$ is slope stable (resp. slope semistable) with respect to Z if and only if $\epsilon(Z) < n$(resp. $\le n$).*

(ii) *If $(-K_X) \cdot Z = 1$, then $(X, -K_X)$ is slope stable (resp. slope semistable) with respect to Z if and only if $\epsilon(Z) < \sqrt{n^2-1}$ (resp. $\le \sqrt{n^2-1}$).*

(iii) *If* $(-K_X)\cdot Z \geq 3$ *and* $\epsilon(Z) \leq (-K_X)\cdot Z$*, then* $(X, -K_X)$ *is slope semistable with respect to* Z*, and* Z *destabilizes* $(X, -K_X)$ *if and only if* $\epsilon(Z) = (-K_X)\cdot Z = n+1$.

Proof. Set $p := c_1(N_{Z/X})$. Then $(-K_X)\cdot Z = p+2$. Note $\mu(X) = \frac{n}{2}$. From Corollary 2.9, we get that Z destabilizes (resp. strictly destabilizes) $(X, -K_X)$ if and only if

$$f(\lambda) := pn\lambda^2 - 2(n^2-1)(p+1)\lambda + n(n^2-1)(p+2) \leq 0 \text{ (} resp\ . < 0)$$

for some $\lambda \in (0, \epsilon(Z)]$. If $(-K_X)\cdot Z = 2$, $p = 0$ and hence $f(\lambda) = -2(n^2-1)(\lambda - n)$, which implies (i). If $(-K_X)\cdot Z = 1$, $p = -1$ and so $f(\lambda) = -n(\lambda^2 - (n^2-1))$, which implies (ii). Assume $(-K_X)\cdot Z \geq 3$. Then $p \geq 1$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda) &= pn\left(\lambda - \frac{(p+1)(n^2-1)}{pn}\right)^2 - \frac{(p+1)^2(n^2-1)^2}{pn} + n(n^2-1)(p+2) \\ &= pn\left(\lambda - \frac{(p+1)(n^2-1)}{pn}\right)^2 + \frac{(n^2-1)((p+1)^2-n^2)}{pn} \end{aligned}$$

Thus if $p > n-1$, then $f(\lambda) > 0$ for all λ and $(X, -K_X)$ is slope stable with respect to Z. It remains to consider the case $1 \leq p \leq n-1$. Note that f has a minimum value at $\frac{(p+1)(n^2-1)}{pn}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &(p+2) - \frac{(p+1)(n^2-1)}{pn} \\ &\leq (n+1) - \frac{(p+1)(n^2-1)}{pn} = \frac{(n+1)(p-n+1)}{pn} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence $p+2 \leq \frac{(p+1)(n^2-1)}{pn}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} f(p+2) &= pn(p+2)^2 - 2(n^2-1)(p+1)(p+2) + n(n^2-1)(p+2) \\ &= (p+2)\{pn(p+2) - 2(n^2-1)(p+1) + n(n^2-1)\} \\ &= (p+2)(p-n+1)\{n(p-n+1)+2\}, \end{aligned}$$

$f(p+2) \geq 0$ and $f(p+2) = 0$ if and only if $p = n-1$. This means that $f(\lambda) \geq 0$ for all $\lambda \in (0, p+2]$, and $f(\lambda) = 0$ for some $\lambda \in (0, p+2]$ if and only if $p = n-1$ and $\lambda = p+2$.

Hence if $\epsilon(Z) \leq (-K_X)\cdot Z = p+2$, $f(\lambda) \geq 0$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \epsilon(Z)]$, and $f(\lambda) = 0$ for some $\lambda \in (0, \epsilon(Z)]$ if and only if $\epsilon(Z) = p+2 = n+1$ and $\lambda = n+1$. We are done. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let* X *be a Fano manifold of dimension* ≥ 2*. Then for every smooth rational curve* Z *with* $(-K_X)\cdot Z \geq 3$*, we have* $\epsilon(Z) \leq (-K_X)\cdot Z$*.*

Proof. Let $h : Z \hookrightarrow X$ be the embedding of Z in X. By II.2.2 in [8], the deformation space of h fixing one point has dimension $\geq (-K_X)\cdot Z \geq 3$. Since the group of automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^1$ fixing one point has dimension 2, Z moves in X with one point fixed. So one can find a curve $C (\neq Z)$ in X which is algebraically equivalent to Z and $C \cap Z \neq \emptyset$. Hence $\epsilon(Z) \leq (-K_X)\cdot C = (-K_X)\cdot Z$ by Lemma 2.1. □

Proposition 3.3. *Let* $Z \subset X$ *be a smooth rational curve on a Fano manifold of dimension* n *with* $(-K_X)\cdot Z = n+1$*. Suppose that* $(-K_X)\cdot C \geq n+1$ *for any rational curve* $C \subset X$ *with* $Z \cap C \neq \emptyset$*. Then* $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ *and* Z *is a line on* $\mathbb{P}^n$*.*

Proof. Using the 11th condition of Corollary 0.4 in [2], it suffices to show that for a general point $x_0 \in X$, every rational curve R through x_0 satisfies $(-K_X)\cdot R \geq n+1$. Suppose not. Then rational curves of $(-K_X)$-degree $\leq n$ cover the whole variety X. In particular, there exists a rational curve C with $(-K_X)\cdot C \leq n$ passing through a point of Z, a contradiction. □

Proposition 3.4. *Let X be a Fano manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ with the anticanonical polarization. Let $Z \subset X$ be a smooth rational curve. If $(-K_X) \cdot Z \geq 3$, then X is slope stable with respect to Z, except when $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ and Z is a line;*

Proof. Lemma 3.2 says that $\epsilon(Z) \leq (-K_Z) \cdot Z$ and hence, by Proposition 3.1 (iii), $(X, -K_X)$ is slope stable with respect to Z except when $\epsilon(Z) = (-K_X) \cdot Z = n+1$.

If $\epsilon(Z) = (-K_X) \cdot Z = n+1$, $(-K_X) \cdot C \geq n+1$ for any rational curve $C (\neq Z) \subset X$ with $Z \cap C \neq \emptyset$ by Lemma 2.1. Proposition 3.3 says that this condition is equivalent to $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ and Z is a line in $\mathbb{P}^n$. □

Remark 3.5. Let l be a line in $\mathbb{P}^n$ $(n \geq 2)$. Then $(-K_{\mathbb{P}^n}) \cdot l = n+1$ and $\epsilon(l, -K_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = n+1$ by Example 2.3. Thus this is an example satisfying the upper bound of Seshadri constant in Lemma 3.2. In fact, $(\mathbb{P}^n, -K_{\mathbb{P}^n})$ is slope semistable but not slope stable with respect to l. Proposition 3.4 says that $\mathbb{P}^n$ is the only Fano manifold which has a destabilizing smooth rational curve with anticanonical degree ≥ 3.

Proposition 3.6. *Let X be a Fano manifold of dimension n. Assume that there exists a smooth rational curve $Z \subset X$ with trivial normal bundle. Then there exists a rational curve C with $C \neq Z$, $C \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ and $(-K_X) \cdot C \leq n$.*

Proof. The deformations of Z form an $(n-1)$-dimensional family of smooth rational curves with trivial normal bundles. It follows that there exists an irreducible complete subscheme Y in the Hilbert scheme of curves on X with a universal family $\pi : U \to Y$ and a dominant morphism $\rho : U \to X$ with the following properties.

(i) There exists a dense open subset $Y^o \subset Y$ such that $\rho|_{U^o} : U^o \to X$ is unramified where $U^o := \pi^{-1}(Y^o)$ and $\pi|_{U^o}$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle.

(ii) For each $y \in Y$, let $\ell_y \subset X$ be the curve $\rho(\pi^{-1}(y))$. Then $Z = \ell_{y_o}$ for some $y_o \in Y$ and, for each $y \in Y^o$, $\rho|_{\pi^{-1}(y)} : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \ell_y$ is an embedding.

(iii) For $y_1 \in Y^o$ and $y_2 \in Y \backslash \{y_1\}$, the curve ℓ_{y_1} is distinct from any irreducible component of ℓ_{y_2}.

Suppose that the morphism ρ is not birational. Then, by (i) and (ii), for a general point $x \in Z$, we have two $u_1 \neq u_2 \in \rho^{-1}(x)$ such that $\pi(u_1) = y_o \neq \pi(u_2)$. By the property (iii), a component of $\ell_{\pi(u_2)}$ through x gives the desired curve C. Suppose that the morphism ρ is birational. Then, by (i), we may regard U^o as an open subset in X. Now apply Theorem 2.1 of [9] to get a rational curve C_y intersecting ℓ_y for a general $y \in Y^o$ such that $C_y \neq \ell_y$ and $(-K_X) \cdot C_y \leq n+1$. The proper transform $\tilde{C}_y$ in U is a rational curve with $\dim \pi(\tilde{C}_y) = 1$. From the generality of y, we can find an irreducible curve $\tilde{C}_{y_o}$ intersecting $\pi^{-1}(y_o)$ with $\dim \pi(\tilde{C}_{y_o}) = 1$ such that the image $C = \rho(\tilde{C}_{y_o})$ satisfies $C \neq Z$, $C \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ and $(-K_X) \cdot C \leq n+1$. We are done if $(-K_X) \cdot C < n+1$. Assume that $(-K_X) \cdot C = n+1$. We can deform C with a point $x_o = C \cap Z$ fixed to have an $(n-1)$-dimensional family of distinct rational curves through x_o. If this family of rational curves through x_o form a complete family, then $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ by [2] and cannot contain a rational curve with trivial normal bundle unless $n = 1$. Thus this family of curves cannot be complete and there exists a reducible (or non-reduced) curve C' with $(-K_X) \cdot C' = n+1$. If C' has more than one component, then one of the component, say C'', must be distinct from Z, intersects Z and satisfies $(-K_X) \cdot C'' < n+1$. Thus we are done. If on the other hand, C' is irreducible and non-reduced, its reduction C_0 is a rational curve with $(-K_X) \cdot C_0 < n+1$ passing through x_o. If $C_0 \neq Z$, we are done. It remains to exclude the possibility of $C_0 = Z$. If this happens, it means that the curve C is numerically equivalent to a multiple of Z. Take an irreducible hypersurface $D \subset Y$ such that

(a) $y_o \notin D$,
(b) $D \cap \pi(U^o \cap \tilde{C}) \neq \emptyset$ and
(c) $\pi(U^o \cap \tilde{C}) \not\subset D$.

Then C has non-empty intersection with the hypersurface $\rho \circ \pi^{-1}(D)$, but Z is disjoint from $\rho \circ \pi^{-1}(D)$ from the birationality of ρ. This is a contradiction to the assumption that C is in the same numerical class as some multiple of Z. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that the polarized Fano manifold $(X, -K_X)$ is not slope stable with respect to a smooth curve Z. By Corollary 2.14 the curve Z is a rational curve whose normal bundle is either

- $N_{Z/X} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{n-1}(-a)$ or
- $N_{Z/X} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{n-2}(-a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-a-1)$,

where a is an integer.

Now we suppose that if the Fano manifold X is $\mathbb{P}^n$, then Z is not a line. By Proposition 3.4 we see that $-K_X \cdot Z$ is either 2 or 1. Therefore we obtain

$$\deg(N_{Z/X}) = -2 - K_X \cdot Z = 0 \quad \text{if } -K_X \cdot Z = 2;$$

$$\deg(N_{Z/X}) = -2 - K_X \cdot Z = -1 \quad \text{if } -K_X \cdot Z = 1.$$

If the normal bundle of Z is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{n-1}(-a)$, then $\deg(N_{Z/X}) = -(n-1)a$. Therefore, $-K_X \cdot Z = 2$ and $a = 0$. If the normal bundle of Z is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{n-2}(-a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-a-1)$, then $\deg(N_{Z/X}) = -(n-1)a - 1$. Then $a = 0$. Consequently, the curve Z is a rational curve with trivial normal bundle or with $N_{Z/X} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{n-2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$.

If Z is a rational curve with trivial normal bundle then Proposition 3.6 shows that we have a rational curve C with $C \neq Z$, $Z \cap C \neq \emptyset$ and $-K_X \cdot C \leq n$. It then follows from Lemma 2.1 that $\epsilon(X, -K_X, Z) \leq -K_X \cdot C \leq n$. Then Proposition 3.1 completes the proof. □

Remark 3.7. Let (X_i, L_i), $i = 1, 2$, be polarized manifolds. By Remark 3.9 in [14]

$$\mu(X_1 \times X_2, L_1 \boxtimes L_2) = \mu(X_1, L_1) + \mu(X_2, L_2)$$

and, for a subscheme Z of X_2, we have

$$\mu_\lambda(\mathcal{O}_{X_1 \times Z}, L_1 \boxtimes L_2) = \mu(X_1, L_1) + \mu_\lambda(\mathcal{O}_Z, L_2)$$

and

$$\epsilon(X_1 \times Z, L_1 \boxtimes L_2) = \epsilon(Z, L_2).$$

So Z destabilizes (resp. strictly destabilizes) (X_2, L_2) if and only if $X_1 \times Z$ destabilizes (resp. strictly destabilizes) $(X_1 \times X_2, L_1 \boxtimes L_2)$. Since any polarized manifold is slope semistable with respect to any smooth point by Theorem 4.29 in [16], $(X_1 \times X_2, L_1 \boxtimes L_2)$ is slope semistable with respect to any fiber $X_1 \times \{p\}$, $p \in X_2$.

Example 3.8. Let $X := \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Since $\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{p\}, X, -K_X) = \epsilon(p, , \mathbb{P}^{n-1}, -K_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}) = n$, $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{p\}$ destabilizes $(X, -K_X)$ by Proposition 3.1 (i) although $(X, -K_X)$ is slope semistable with respect to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{p\}$ by Remark 3.7. This shows that we cannot improve Theorem 1.2 to slope stability.

Remark 3.9. We remark that Theorem 4.29 in [16] says that $\epsilon(p, X, -K_X) \leq n + 1$ for any smooth point $p \in X$. We also know that $\epsilon(p, \mathbb{P}^n, -K_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = n + 1$ for any smooth point p in $\mathbb{P}^n$. The following Lemma 3.10 shows that $\mathbb{P}^n$ is the unique Fano manifold having a point satisfying the equality.

Lemma 3.10. *Let X be a Fano manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ with $X \ncong \mathbb{P}^n$. Then $\epsilon(p, X, -K_X) \leq n$ for all point $p \in X$.*

Proof. If $X \neq \mathbb{P}^n$, rational curves of $(-K_X)$-degree $\leq n$ cover the whole variety X by the 11th condition of Corollary 0.4 in [2]. Hence, for any point $p \in X$, there exists a rational curve C' with $(-K_X) \cdot C' \leq n$ passing through it and so $\epsilon(p, X, -K_X) \leq n$. $\square$

Proposition 3.11. *Let $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y$ where Y is a Fano manifold of dimension $n-1$. Then there exists a point $p \in Y$ such that the fiber $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{p\}$ destabilizes $(X, -K_X)$ if and only if $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.*

Proof. If $Y \ncong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, $\epsilon(p, Y, -K_Y) \leq n-1$ for any point p in Y by Lemma 3.10. So $\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{p\}, X, -K_X) \leq n-1$ by Remark 3.7. Since the normal bundle of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{p\}$ is trivial, by Proposition 3.1 (i), $(X, -K_X)$ is slope stable with respect to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{p\}$ for any point $p \in Y$.

If $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, then any fiber $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{p\}$ destabilizes $(X, -K_X)$ by Example 3.8. $\square$

Lemma 3.12. *Let X be a smooth Fano threefold and let C be a smooth curve on X. Let $\sigma : \tilde{X} \to X$ be the blowup of X along the curve C. Denote the exceptional divisor of σ by E. Then $(-K_{\tilde{X}})^2 \cdot E = (-K_X) \cdot C + 2 - 2g(C)$.*

Proof. From Lemma 2.2.14. in [6] or the proof of Lemma 2.8, we have the following equalities.

$$\begin{aligned}(-K_{\tilde{X}})^2 E &= (\sigma^* K_X + E)^2 E \\ &= (\sigma^* K_X)^2 E + 2\sigma^* K_X \cdot E^2 + E^3 \\ &= 0 - 2K_X \cdot C - \deg(N_{C/X}) \\ &= -K_X \cdot C - 2g(C) + 2.\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Remark 3.13. Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^3$ be the blowup along a line l, and let E be the exceptional divisor of π. Then $E = \mathbb{F}_0$. Let Z be a fiber of the map $\pi|_E : E \to l$. We note that $N_{Z/X} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$. Now we want to show that $\epsilon(Z, X, -K_X) = 3$.

Let C be the section of $\pi|_E : E \to l$ with $C^2 = 0$. Then $-K_X|_E = C + \alpha Z$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $(-K_X|_E)^2 = (-K_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \cdot l + 2 = 6$ by Lemma 3.12 and $(-K_X|_E)^2 = (C + \alpha Z)^2 = 2\alpha = 6$, we have $\alpha = 3$ and hence $-K_X|_E = C + 3Z$. Therefore $\epsilon(Z, X, -K_X) \leq (-K_X) \cdot C = (-K_X|_E) \cdot C = (C + 3Z) \cdot C = 3$ by Lemma 2.1.

To show the equality, suppose not, i.e. $\epsilon(Z, X, -K_X) < 3$. From Example 2.3, we have $\epsilon(l, \mathbb{P}^3, -K_{\mathbb{P}^3}) = 4$ and so

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon(E, X, -K_X) &= \epsilon(E, X, -\pi^*(K_{\mathbb{P}^3}) - E) \\ &= \max\{x \mid (-\pi^*(K_{\mathbb{P}^3}) - E) - xE \text{ is nef}\} \\ &= \max\{x \mid -\pi^*(K_{\mathbb{P}^3}) - (x+1)E \text{ is nef}\} \\ &= \max\{x \mid -\pi^*(K_{\mathbb{P}^3}) - xE \text{ is nef}\} - 1 \\ &= \epsilon(l, \mathbb{P}^3, -K_{\mathbb{P}^3}) - 1 = 3.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the assumption $\epsilon(Z, X, -K_X) < 3$ implies that

$$\epsilon(Z, X, -K_X) < \epsilon(E, X, -K_X),$$

and hence $\epsilon(Z, X, -K_X) = \epsilon(Z, E, -K_X|_E)$ by the Remark 2.2 (ii). But we have

$$\epsilon(Z, E, -K_X|_E) = \max\{x \mid (-K_X|_E) - xZ = C + (3-x)Z \text{ is nef}\} = 3,$$

a contradiction.

In conclusion, we showed that $\epsilon(Z, X, -K_X) = 3$. Therefore, Z strictly destabilizes $(X, -K_X)$ by Proposition 3.1 (ii), and we cannot improve Theorem 1.2. We however note that the Picard number of X is 2.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let Z be a smooth rational curve on X. By Theorem 1.2, we only need to consider a smooth rational curve with normal bundle either trivial or $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$ when $n = 3$.

If the normal bundle $N_{Z/X}$ is trivial, there is a curve $C(\neq Z)$ which is algebraically equivalent to Z and $C \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ by Proposition 2 in [5]. So $\epsilon(Z) \leq (-K_X) \cdot C = (-K_X) \cdot Z = 2$ by Lemma 2.1. Therefore the polarized manifold $(X, -K_X)$ is slope stable with respect to Z by Proposition 3.1 (i).

Therefore, we have only to consider a smooth rational curve Z on a Fano threefold X with the normal bundle $N_{Z/X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$.

Since $(-K_X) \cdot Z = \deg(N_{Z/X}) + 2 = 1$, the Fano manifold X is of Fano index 1. Let g be the genus of X, i.e., $g = \frac{1}{2}(-K_X)^3 + 1$.

Case $g \geq 4$: By Remark 4.3.6 in [6], there exists a curve $C(\neq Z)$ such that $(-K_X) \cdot C = 1$ and $C \cap Z \neq \emptyset$. So $\epsilon(Z) \leq (-K_X) \cdot C = 1$ by Lemma 2.1. Hence the result follows from Proposition 3.1 (ii).

Case $g = 3$: Propositions 4.1.11 and 4.1.12 in [6] show that the Fano manifold X belongs to one of the following two cases.

(1) X is anticanonically embedded in $\mathbb{P}^4$ as a quartic and Z is a line.
Choose a plane H so that $H \cap X = Z \cup Z'$ and Z is not a component of Z'. Set $C := Z'$. Then $(-K_X) \cdot C = 3$.
Let $\sigma : \tilde{X} \to X$ be the blowing up of X with center Z, and E be the exceptional divisor of σ. Let $\bar{C}$ be the proper transform of C. Since the intersection number of C and Z in H is 3, $E \cdot \bar{C} = 3$. Hence $(\sigma^*(-K_X) - xE) \cdot \bar{C} = (-K_X) \cdot C - xE \cdot \bar{C} = 3 - 3x$. So if $\sigma^*(-K_X) - xE$ is nef, then $x \leq 1$. Therefore $\epsilon(Z) \leq 1$ and hence $(X, -K_X)$ is slope stable with respect to Z by Proposition 3.1 (ii).

(2) The anticanonical linear system $|-K_X|$ defines a finite morphism $\varphi = \varphi_{|-K_X|} : X \to Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ of degree 2 onto a nonsingular quadric Q ramified along a surface S of degree 8. Set $Z' := \varphi(Z)$. Then Z' is a line in $\mathbb{P}^4$. Choose a line $l(\neq Z')$ in Q with $l \cap Z' \neq \emptyset$. Let C be a component of $\varphi^{-1}(l)$ intersecting Z. Then $(-K_X) \cdot C \leq 2 \deg l = 2$ and $C \cap Z \neq \emptyset$. So $\epsilon(Z) \leq (-K_X) \cdot C \leq 2$ by Lemma 2.1. Therefore $(X, -K_X)$ is slope stable with respect to Z by Proposition 3.1 (ii).

*C*ase $g = 2$: The anticanonical linear system $|-K_X|$ defines a finite morphism $\varphi = \varphi_{|-K_X|} : X \to \mathbb{P}^3$ of degree 2 ramified along a surface of degree 6 (Proposition 4.1.11 in [6]). Let $Z' := \varphi(Z)$. Choose a line l on $\mathbb{P}^3$ such that $l \neq Z'$ and $l \cap Z' \neq \emptyset$. Take an irreducible component C of $\varphi^{-1}(l)$ intersecting Z. Then $-K_X \cdot C \leq 2$ and $Z \cap C \neq \emptyset$. So $\epsilon(Z) \leq (-K_X) \cdot C \leq 2$ by Lemma 2.1. Therefore $(X, -K_X)$ is slope stable with respect to Z by Proposition 3.1 (ii).

This completes the proof. □

As seen in the proof of Theorem 1.3, on a given Fano manifold, its Fano index gives us a lower bound for the intersection numbers of its anticanonical divisor with curves. Note that the Fano index of an n-dimensional Fano manifold is at most $n + 1$. Furthermore, the theorem of Kobayashi-Ochiai (see [7]) states that it is $n + 1$ (resp. n) if and only if the Fano manifold is $\mathbb{P}^n$ (resp. a quadric hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$). Based on this simple observation, we are able to obtain the following:

Corollary 3.14. *Let X be a Fano manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. If the Fano index of X is at least 3 and at most n, then the polarized manifold $(X, -K_X)$ is slope stable with respect to any smooth curve.*

Proof. Because of the Fano index, the Fano manifold X cannot be $\mathbb{P}^n$. If a smooth curve C destabilizes $(X, -K_X)$, then $-K_X \cdot C = 2$ or 1 by Theorem 1.2. However, X cannot have such a curve because of its Fano index. □

Corollary 3.15. *Let X be a Fano manifold of dimension 3.*

(1) *If the Fano index of X is 2, then the polarized manifold $(X, -K_X)$ is slope semistable with respect to any smooth curve.*
(2) *If the Fano index of X is 3, i.e., the manifold X is a quadric hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^4$, then the polarized manifold $(X, -K_X)$ is slope stable with respect to any smooth curve.*

Proof. Theorem 1.2 and the same argument as the previous corollary give us an immediate proof. □

Acknowledgements. Yongnam Lee would like to thank Noboru Nakayama and Yuji Odaka for valuable comments at an informal seminar talk in RIMS. The paper was worked out during his visit to KIAS and RIMS in 2010 as an affiliate member and as a visiting professor. He appreciates KIAS and RIMS for hospitality and financial support. Jihun Park would like to thank Yuji Sano for helpful conversations at Ise-Shima and Nagoya in Japan and at Pohang in Korea where the ideas on Fano bundles occurred to him. The authors would like to thank a referee for valuable comments to modify the original version.

References

[1] X. X. Chen and G. Tian, 'Geometry of Kahler metrics and foliations by holomorphic discs', *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci.* No. 107 (2008), 1-107.
[2] K. Cho, Y. Miyaoka and N.I. Shepherd-Barron, 'Characterizations of projective space and applications to complex symplectic manifolds', Higher dimensional birational geometry (Kyoto, 1997), 1–88, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, 35, *Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo*, 2002.
[3] S. K. Donaldson, 'Lower bounds on the Calabi functional', *J. Differential Geom.* 70 (2005), no. 3, 453-472.
[4] W. Fulton, Intersection theory (Second edition), *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. 2. Springer-Verlag, Berlin,* 1998.
[5] J. -M. Hwang, 'On the degrees of Fano four-folds of Picard number 1', *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 556 (2003), 225–235.
[6] V. A. Iskovskikh and Yu. G. Prokhorov, Algebraic Geometry V (Fano Varieties), *Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg,* 1999.
[7] S. Kobayashi and T. Ochiai, 'Characterizations of complex projective spaces and hyperquadrics', *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 13 (1973), 31–47.
[8] J. Kollár, 'Rational curves on Algebraic Varieties', *Springer Verlag, Egrebnisse der Math.* vol.32, 1995.
[9] J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka and S. Mori, Rational connectedness and boundedness of Fano manifolds, *J. Diff. Geom.* 36 (1992), 765–779.
[10] R. Lazarsfeld, 'Positivity in algebraic geometry. I. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series', *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. 48. Springer-Verlag, Berlin,* 2004.
[11] D. Panov and J. Ross, 'Slope stability and exceptional divisors of high genus', *Math. Ann.* 343 (2009), 79–101.
[12] S. Paul and G. Tian, 'Analysis of geometric stability', *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2004, no. 48, 2555–2591
[13] J. Ross, 'Instability of polarised algebraic varieties', *PhD thesis, Imperial College,* 2003.
[14] J. Ross, 'Unstable products of smooth curves', *Invent. Math.* 165 (2006), 153–162.
[15] J. Ross and R. Thomas, 'An obstruction to the existence of constant scalar curvature Kahler metrics', *J. Diff. Geom.* 72 (2006), 429–466.
[16] J. Ross and R. Thomas, 'A study of the Hilbert-Mumford criterion for the stability of projective varieties', *J. Alg. Geom.* 16 (2007), 201–255.
[17] M. Szurek and J. Wiśniewski, 'Fano bundles over $\mathbb{P}^3$ and Q_3', *Pacific J. Math.* 141 (1990), 197–208.

Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Hoegiro 87, Seoul 130-722, Korea
E-mail address: `jmhwang@kias.re.kr`

Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Hoegiro 87, Seoul 130-722, Korea
E-mail address: `hosung@sogang.ac.kr`

Department of Mathematics, Sogang University, Seoul 121-742, Korea
E-mail address: `ynlee@sogang.ac.kr`

Department of Mathematics, POSTECH, Pohang, Kyungbuk, 790-784, Korea
E-mail address: `wlog@postech.ac.kr`